## CLOSED SUBGROUPS OF LIE GROUPS ARE LIE SUBGROUPS

## DANIEL LITT

We begin with a relatively easy theorem, which points in the direction of the proof.

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $H \hookrightarrow G$  be a be a subgroup (in the category Grp). Then H is a Lie subgroup if and only if there exists a subspace  $V \subset \mathfrak{g}$  and neighborhoods  $0 \in U \subset \mathfrak{g}$  and  $e \in W \subset G$  such that

$$\exp|_{U\cap V}:U\cap V\to W\cap H$$

is a diffeomorphism.

*Proof.* If H is a Lie subgroup, the theorem is obvious. For the converse, note that for  $h \in H$ , we may consider  $\exp |_{U \cap V}^{-1}(h^{-1} \cdot -)$ , which is a map  $hW \cap H \to U \cap V$ . It is clear that these maps cover H and are mutually compatible (as they are diffeomorphisms onto their image in G) and so they induce a manifold structure on H; by construction, this manifold structure is compatible with that of G.

**Theorem 2.** Let G be a Lie group, and  $H \hookrightarrow G$  a subgroup (in the category Grp), with closed image. Then H is an embedded Lie subgroup.

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the subset of  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined as

$$\mathfrak{h} := \{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \exp(tx) \in H, \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

That is, the  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that the one-parameter subgroup generated by x is entirely contained in H.

**Lemma 1.**  $\mathfrak{h}$  *is a subspace of*  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Before proving this we need an auxiliary lemma:

**Lemma 2.** Let  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left( \exp\left(\frac{t}{n} \cdot x\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{n} \cdot y\right) \right)^n = \exp(t(x+y)).$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Consider the path given by  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to G$ , where  $\gamma(t) = \exp(tx) \exp(ty)$ . Let  $0 \in U \subset \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $e \in W \subset G$  be neighborhoods such that  $\exp|_U: U \to W$  is a diffeomorphism, and let  $Z(t) = \exp|_U^{-1} \circ \gamma(t)|_{U'}$ , where  $0 \in U' \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a sufficiently small neighborhood of zero. Then we have

$$\gamma(t) = \exp(Z(t))$$

for  $t \in U'$ . Note that  $dZ|_0 = d \exp^{-1} \circ d(\exp(tx) \exp(ty))|_0 = d(\exp(tx) \exp(ty))|_0 = x + y$  so taking Taylor series, we have

$$\exp(tx)\exp(ty) = \exp(t(x+y) + O(t^2))$$

on some small neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

But then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \exp\left(\frac{t}{n} \cdot x\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{n} \cdot y\right) \right)^n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \exp\left(\frac{t}{n} \cdot (x+y) + O((t/n)^2)\right)^n$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \exp(t \cdot (x+y) + O(t^2/n))$$

$$= \exp(t(x+y))$$

as desired.

Now we can prove that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Proof of Lemma 1. It is clear that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is closed under scalar multiplication. To see that it is closed under addition, note that if  $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then  $\left(\exp\left(\frac{t}{n} \cdot x\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{n} \cdot y\right)\right)^n$  is in H; as H is closed, we thus have that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left( \exp\left(\frac{t}{n} \cdot x\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{n} \cdot y\right) \right)^n = \exp(t(x+y)) \in H$$

by Lemma 2.  $\Box$ 

So to complete the proof of the theorem, we want to find open sets  $0 \in U \subset \mathfrak{g}, e \in W \subset G$  such that  $\exp|_{U \cap V} : U \cap V \to W \cap H$  is a diffeomorphism. Let  $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be a complementary subspace to  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\alpha : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{f} \to \mathfrak{g}$  be given by  $\alpha(x,y) = \exp(x) \exp(y)$ . Note that  $d\alpha|_0 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , so  $\alpha$  is a diffeomorphism when restricted to a neighborhood  $U_{\mathfrak{h}} \times U_{\mathfrak{f}} \subset \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{f}$  of 0.

**Lemma 3.** There exists an open  $0 \in U_f \subset f$  such that

$$H \cap \exp(U_{\mathfrak{f}} - \{0\}) = \emptyset.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Assume the contrary. Then there exists a sequence  $(x_j) \in U_{\mathfrak{f}}$  with  $\exp(x_j) \in H$ ,  $x_j \to 0$ . Let  $||\cdot||_{\mathfrak{f}}$  be a norm on  $\mathfrak{f}$  and let  $(x'_j) = (x_j/||x_j||_{\mathfrak{f}})$ ; by the compactness of the unit ball, there is some subsequence  $(x'_{j_k})$  converging to  $y \in \mathfrak{f}$  with  $y \neq 0$ . Let  $t_{j_k} = ||x_{j_k}||_{\mathfrak{f}}$ . As  $t_{j_k} \to 0$ , we may choose integers  $n_{j_k}(t)$  such that  $t_{j_k}n_{j_k}(t) \to t$ . But then we have

$$\exp(ty) = \exp(t \cdot \lim x'_{j_k}) = \exp(\lim n_{j_k}(t)t_{j_k}x'_{j_k}) = \lim \exp(n_{j_k}(t)x_{j_k}) = \lim \exp(x_{j_k})^{n_{j_k}(t)} \in H$$
But then  $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ , so  $y = 0$ , which is a contradiction, as  $||y||_{\mathfrak{f}} = 1$ .

So we may choose  $U_{\mathfrak{f}}$  as in Lemma 3 such that  $\alpha: U_{\mathfrak{f}} \times U_{\mathfrak{h}} \to G$  is a diffeomorphism on its image.

Now we claim that  $\exp(U_{\mathfrak{h}} \times \{0\})$  contains some neighborhood of the identity in H. Indeed, let  $S = \operatorname{Im}(\alpha|_{U_{\mathfrak{h}} \times U_{\mathfrak{f}}})$ ;  $S \subset G$  is an open neighborhood of e. For  $x \in S \cap H$  we have that  $x = \exp(x') \exp(y')$  for  $x' \in U_{\mathfrak{h}}, y' \in U_{\mathfrak{f}}$ ; but then  $x, \exp(x') \in H$  and so  $\exp(y') \in H \cap \exp(U_{\mathfrak{f}})$ , so by Lemma 3 y' = 0. Thus x is in the image of exp.

So we may take  $U_{\mathfrak{h}} \times U_{\mathfrak{f}}$  to be our U from Theorem 1, and take S to be our W, completing the proof.