

Galois actions on Tate modules + cohomology

Last time: Poorna used:

Thm (Serre) A is an A/k -f.g. field
of char 0

$$\rho: \text{Gal}(K/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T(A))$$

← scalar matrices

$$\leftarrow \varprojlim_n A[\pi^n]$$

Then $\mathbb{Z}^x / \text{im } \rho \cap \mathbb{Z}^x$ has finite exponent.

$$\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$$

Slogan: "many" Galois homotheties.

Today: Context for this thm
+ discussion about Galois actions on Tate modules
and cohomology.

(1) Galois reps

X -variety / k -# field

$$\rightsquigarrow H^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \text{ét}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{cts}}$$

← p-adic étale
cohomology

finite dim'l v.s. $\vee \wedge \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/k)$ -action.

$$\underline{\text{Ex}} \quad A-AV \quad H^i(A, \mathbb{Q}_p) = T_p(A)^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Properties of these rep'ns:

(1) Unramified at almost all places v of K .

$$I_v \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/K_v) \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$$

trivial \Leftrightarrow unramified at v .

Ex X sm. proper variety, good reduction at v

Then $H^i(X_{\bar{v}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is unramified at v if v is not a place above p .

(2) For v above p (p-adic Hodge theory)

$$\rho|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/K_v)} : \text{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/K_v) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$$

Hodge-Tate $\left\langle \begin{array}{l} \text{is "de Rham"} \Rightarrow \text{"potentially semistable"} \\ X \text{ sm. proper w/ good reduction} \Rightarrow \text{"crystalline"} \end{array} \right.$

(i) Morally (X sm. proper w/ good reduction)

this means $\rho|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/K_v)}$ can be computed

from de Rham coh. of X_{K_v} +
crystalline coh. of $X \otimes K(v)$.

(ii) Hodge-Tate means

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p(-j)^{\oplus n_j}$$

\mathbb{C}_p -semi-linear Gal-reps

$\text{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/k_v) \subseteq \text{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/\mathbb{Q}_p)$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p(i) = \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{\otimes i}, \quad \mathbb{C}_p(i) = \mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p(i)$$

Rem X sm. proper : $n_j = \dim H^{i,j}(X, \Omega^j)$
 $V = H^i(X_{\bar{v}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$

$$\Omega^j = \bigwedge^j \Omega^1_X$$

Defn If $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/k)$ satisfies (1)+(2)

we say it's "geometric in the sense of Fontaine-Mezur".

Conj Geometric reps come from geometry.

(3) Thm (Bogomolov) $\rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

$\rho: \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ cts rep'n
 which is geometric. $\text{im } \rho$ is open in the \mathbb{Q}_p -pts
 of its Zariski-closure.

(Lie $\text{im } \rho = \text{Lie } \overline{\text{im } \rho}$.)

Non-ex: $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\chi_{\text{cyc}}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$
 \downarrow
 \mathbb{Z}_p^2
 \downarrow
 $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

(4) $\rho: \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}(H^i(X_{Z, \text{ét}}, \mathbb{Q}_p))$

Then ρ is "mixed": \exists increasing filtration
 W^0 on H^i s.t. almost all Frobenii act

$\text{gr}_W^j H^i$ w/ eigenvalues alg. #'s
 of absolute value $q^{-j/2}$

\leftarrow # res. field.

Deligne: Weil I + Théorie de Hodge II + III).

X sm. proper: H^i is pure of wt i .

$\text{gr}_W^i H^i = H^i$.

2) Homotheties

Thm (Bogomolov) X sm. proper variety / K -# field
 $\rho: G_K \rightarrow GL(H^i(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$

Then if $i > 1$, $[\text{im } \rho \cap \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times : \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times] < \infty$.

Slopes: lots of ℓ -adic homotheties.

PF (i) ETS $\overline{\text{im } \rho}$ contains \mathbb{Q}_ℓ^\times
scalar matrices

by Bogomolov's open image Thm

(ii) Choose v of K not over ℓ
where X has good reduction.

Let $F = F_v$ be Frobenius at v .

(iii) Can assume all eigenvalues of ρ are
alg. #'s w/ abs. value $q^{i/2}$.

(Simplifying assumption: F is diagonal)

(iv) $T = \{\overline{F^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \leftarrow T^0$ is
a torus.

$$(v) X_F \subseteq X^*(T)$$

$$= \{X \mid \chi(F) = 1\}$$

$$T = \{M \in \text{Diag} \mid \chi(M) = 1 \\ \text{for all } M \in X_F\}$$

(vi) Want: diagonal matrices in this sp

$$X \in X_F$$

$$\chi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \lambda_1^{a_1} \dots \lambda_n^{a_n} = 1$$

← eigenvalues of F

↓

$$\chi(\zeta^{i_1}, \dots, \zeta^{i_n}) = \chi(|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|) = |\lambda_1|^{a_1} \dots |\lambda_n|^{a_n} = 1$$

← scalar. \square